Effects of radiotherapy on wound healing

Keywords: radiotherapy, wound healing, tissue injury

Radiotherapy is widely used to treat for cancer. This therapy aims to kill cancer cells while sparing the surrounding tissue where possible. Radiotherapy can impair the normal healing process in any phase of tissue repair. This review discusses the acute and late effects of radiotherapy and relates them to wound healing.

ABSTRACT

Background
Radiotherapy is widely used to treat cancer, but it can influence the normal wound-healing process.

Aim
To present a narrative review of the current evidence related to the effects of radiotherapy on normal wound healing.

Findings
Fractionated radiotherapy treatment induces a repetitive cycle of inflammation. The acute effects of radiotherapy are mostly transient and are resolved within 4 weeks of the last dose, which correlates with the normal pathogenesis of skin regeneration. Two main theories exist with regards to the late effects of radiotherapy and the development of a chronic wound. One hypothesis is that there is a hypoxic–hypo-cellular–hypovascular effect. Other authors have argued that damage occurs as a result of changes to the microvasculature, in addition to the depletion of parenchymal and stromal cells. Conclusion: Recent evidence indicates that advancements in treatment modalities, for example, the use of proton therapy and intensity-modulated radiotherapy, can positively influence patient outcomes in relation to tissue injury. Further advancements in our understanding of radiotherapy and its effects may lead to an improvement in the type of treatment modalities available, thereby helping prevent tissue injury altogether. Clinicians need to appreciate that healing of a radiation wound may not be realistic. Symptom-relieving treatment is of paramount importance to address the deleterious consequences of a radiotherapy related wound.

Clinicians also need to be cognisant of the need to maintain the patient’s self-esteem and maximise their quality of life.

Key Messages
This review explores the existing evidence related to the effects of radiotherapy on normal wound healing. The main observations from the studies examined indicate that ionising radiation damages cellular molecules primarily through strand breaks of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) or by the production of free radicals and reactive oxygen species, affecting both normal and tumour cells. Radiotherapy can impair the normal healing process in any phase of tissue repair as a result of cellular depletion, alteration in the extracellular matrix (ECM), and impairment of the microvasculature with subsequent tissue hypoxia. The acute effects of radiotherapy on the tissues range in severity from erythema to dry desquamation and hyperpigmentation. In the months or years following radiotherapy, tissue breakdown may occur leading to skin atrophy, dryness, telangiectasia, dyschromia, and dyspigmentation.

INTRODUCTION

Radiotherapy has been used as a treatment modality for over a century, and currently, it is used as a standalone cancer treatment or as an adjunct to surgery or chemotherapy.1 The two main types of radiotherapy are as follows: electromagnetic radiation with x-rays and gamma rays and particulate radiation using electrons, neutrons, and protons.2 Radiation is delivered through multiple modalities, with external beam being the most commonly used modality.1,3 The treatment modality depends on the anatomical location, size, and type of...
the tumour. The aim of the treatment is to kill the tumour cells while sparing the unaffected surrounding tissue. Nevertheless, radiotherapy causes inadvertent damage to adjacent normal tissues in up to 60% of surgical patients, especially to rapidly dividing tissues, such as skin, which are more radiosensitive. Ionising radiation acts either directly by damaging cellular molecules through energy transfer—primarily through strand breaks of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) or indirectly through the production of free radicals and reactive oxygen species—affecting both normal and tumour cells. Single-strand DNA breaks are thought to cause sub-lethal cellular damage which can be repaired, whereas double-strand DNA breaks are believed to cause lethal cellular damage.

NORMAL WOUND HEALING

The normal tissue-repair process involves a well-orchestrated overlapping sequence of cellular and biochemical events, including inflammation, proliferation, and remodelling. A number of intrinsic and extrinsic factors, such as poor nutrition, diabetes, infection, and the presence of a biofilm, can alter this finely tuned process. Radiotherapy is an extrinsic factor that can impair or modify this well-regulated and coordinated sequence of events, and it can result in the formation of a chronic or non-healing wound that fails to proceed through the normal sequence of tissue repair. Radiotherapy instigates inflammatory cell recruitment, with skin damage occurring immediately after the initial and subsequent doses.

Radiotherapy can impair the normal healing process in any phase of tissue repair as a result of cellular depletion, alteration in the extracellular matrix (ECM), and impairment of the microvasculature with subsequent tissue hypoxia. Complications are contingent on the total dose, dose per fraction, treatment modality and particles used, intervals between fractions, and quantity of tissue that receives a high dose. The effects of radiotherapy on tissue repair can be classified as acute and chronic.

ACUTE EFFECTS OF RADIOThERAPY

The acute effects of radiotherapy are observed in approximately 95% of patients. They range in severity from erythema to dry desquamation, hyperpigmentation, and hair loss. Acute effects usually appear within 1–4 weeks of the initial treatment, and they can last for 2–4 weeks following the final treatment. A surge of free radicals modifies the proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, complex molecules, and DNA after each subsequent exposure, resulting in a cycle of inflammatory cell recruitment and tissue injury that inhibits tissue repair. A proportion of basal keratinocytes are destroyed following the first and subsequent fractionated doses of radiotherapy, and the residual cells become cornified, shedding more rapidly; consequently, the proliferation and differentiation of cells and the self-renewal of the epidermis are impaired. The residual keratinocytes continue to proliferate, but they are destroyed by subsequent doses of radiation. Furthermore, the cytokines that are present during the inflammatory phase of tissue repair, for example, transforming growth factor beta (TGFβ), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNFα), and interferon gamma (IFN-γ), as well as the pro-inflammatory cytokines interleukin-1 and interleukin-8, are overexpressed. This prolongs the inflammatory phase of tissue repair and generates a build-up of the ECM, leading to fibrosis.

Liu et al. used a murine model and local soft x-ray irradiation to examine the cellular effects of irradiation on tissue repair. They suggested that the tissue-repair ability is dose dependent. Furthermore, they declared that all phases of wound healing are impaired by irradiation and attributed this impairment to inactive cell proliferation, apoptosis, and arrested cell cycle. They found this resulted in reduced inflammation, thinner granulation, and delayed re-epithelialisation.

LATE EFFECTS OF RADIOTHERAPY

In the months or years following radiotherapy, tissue breakdown may occur as a consequence of trauma, surgery, or infection, leading to the formation of chronic hard-to-heal wounds. In addition, skin atrophy, dryness, telangiectasia, dyschromia, and dyspigmentation may occur. A frequent late effect of radiotherapy is fibrosis, which can lead to functional loss, body image issues, and psychosocial issues which can affect an individual’s self-esteem and their quality of life. Keski-Kurki et al. suggested that fractionated radiotherapy is linked to a reduction in the severity of fibrosis.

Based on an in vitro study of the pathogenesis of osteoradionecrosis, Marx (1983) proposed that the late effects of radiation, resulted in hypoxic-hypocellular-hypovascular tissue, which resulted in tissue breakdown, and as a consequence a chronic non-healing wound. This theory is now widely accepted and challenged the previous propositions of Meyer (1970) who suggested that that radiotherapy-induced tissue injury is caused by radiation, trauma, and infection. Dion et al. (1990) undertook a pilot study of the treatment effects of pentoxifylline on 12 patients with late radiation necrosis of soft tissues. Although the authors supported the concept of impaired healing due to vascular injury, they suggested that the late effects of radiotherapy resulted from the depletion of parenchymal and stromal cells. In addition, they reported that 87% of the patients treated with pentoxifylline exhibited complete wound closure with a median reduction
in healing time of 9 weeks, suggesting that pentoxifylline could be therapeutically beneficial for healing irradiated wounds. Pareek et al. developed a protocol for a Cochrane systematic review to examine the individual and combined effects of pentoxifylline and vitamin E for preventing and treating the side effects of radiation therapy and concomitant chemoradiotherapy, the results of which are yet to be published. More recently, Famoso et al. examined patient compliance with pentoxifylline and vitamin E treatment after breast radiation in 90 patients and found a poor compliance rate in 33 of 87 patients (38%); the main side effect was related to nausea.

Illsley et al. examined the effect of irradiation on collagen production by fibroblasts cultured from the skin of patients undergoing radiotherapy. The authors found that collagen production was elevated in cells cultured from irradiated skin compared to that in cells cultured from un-irradiated skin (p = 0.016). The addition of TGFβ1 to un-irradiated skin fibroblasts increased the rate of collagen production, but the same effect was not observed with irradiated skin. In addition, it was observed that the cells from irradiated skin were larger than the control cells. They proposed that elevated collagen synthesis contributed to the development of fibrosis, and irradiation induced an altered fibroblast phenotype.

Goessler et al. studied tissue samples taken from three patients with squamous-cell carcinoma treated with postoperative radiotherapy. The patients presented severe non-healing dermal wounds in the neck area, which was previously irradiated. Histological analysis identified that the keratinocytes in the irradiated tissue failed to proliferate compared to the control. Gene expressions of cytokertatin 1 and 10 were significantly reduced in the irradiated tissue, whereas gene expressions of cytokertatin 5 and 14 were significantly elevated. Furthermore, transforming growth factor alpha, TGFβ2, TGFβ3, keratinocyte growth factor, hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), and VEGF expression were reduced significantly. The gene expressions of fibroblast growth factors 1 and 2 and HGF were reduced marginally. Furthermore, metalloproteinases (MMP) 7, 12, and 13 were significantly elevated in the irradiated keratinocytes and fibroblasts, and the gene expression of MMP2 was elevated marginally. These findings suggest that damage to the keratinocytes during the inflammatory phase impairs angiogenesis in the proliferative phase of tissue repair. Notably, these results pertaining to the acute effects of radiotherapy have been obtained with relatively small numbers of patients with non-healing chronic wounds, which makes it difficult to judge the significance of these results.

**WOUND TENSILE STRENGTH**

In normal wound healing, fibroblasts are attracted to the wound predominantly by platelet-derived growth factor and TGFβ during the proliferative phase of tissue repair. The fibroblasts then proliferate, producing fibronectin, hyaluronan, collagen, and proteoglycans, which facilitate remodelling of the ECM. Type III Collagen, which is predominant during proliferation, is then synthesised by the fibroblasts. During the remodelling phase of healing, Type III collagen is replaced with Type I collagen, and the fibres are then re-arranged and cross-linked. This process imparts tensile strength to the wounded tissue. Notably, the wounded tissue never regains its original strength, but it does recover approximately 80% of the original strength at 12 weeks (Broughton et al. 2006).

Schaffer et al. investigated the tensile strength of irradiated wounds. They established that impaired healing was associated with diminished nitric oxide (NO) synthesis and inducible NO synthase expression (p = 0.01) and found upregulation of cell-signalling cytokine TNF-D and pro-inflammatory cytokine IFN-D. Furthermore, they found diminished levels of NO synthesis and collagen deposition by fibroblasts (p = 0.01). In vitro irradiation of fibroblasts taken from non-irradiated rats led to reduced production of both NO and collagen (p = 0.01). This finding suggests that an imbalance between promoting and inhibiting factors impairs the healing of irradiated wounds.

Ozbek et al. investigated the effects of preoperative conventional and hyper-fractionated radiotherapy regimes on wound healing and wound tensile strength in rats. The rats were randomized into three groups, with each group comprising 30 rats; sham irradiated; conventional radiotherapy with 20 daily fractions of 200 cGy, resulting in a total dose of 4000 cGy; and hyper-fractionated radiotherapy with 40 fractions of 120 cGy twice daily, resulting in a total dose of 4800 cGy. An incisional wound was made 4 weeks post radiotherapy to allow time for completion of the inflammatory and proliferative processes before onset of the maturation phase of tissue repair. Wound repair was assessed microscopically. Of the rats in the control group, 16% exhibited partial epithelisation and granulation tissue, 32% exhibited fibrosis, and 28% presented with mild or severe inflammation.

By contrast, in the conventional group, 13% exhibited partial epithelisation and granulation, 35% exhibited fibrosis, and 19% presented with mild or severe inflammation. These results imply that there was no significant difference in tensile strength between the control and the conventional groups (p = 0.07). Of the rats in the hyper-fractionated group, 12% exhibited partial epithelialisation,
Interestingly, the patients who underwent proton therapy (OR 4.82; 95% CI 1.20–19.21; p = 0.03). The incidence of wound complications included radiation dermatitis (grade 2 or above) (OR 5.10; 95% CI 1.07–24.29; p = 0.04). Other predictors of the development of major wound complications (OR 2.75; 95% CI 1.21–6.26; P = 0.02).32 According to the results of a study by LeBrun et al., proton therapy and IMRT were associated with a reduced risk of wound complications in comparison to 3D conformal radiotherapy.31 However, a limitation of their study is their reliance on the accuracy of retrospective information because data may be absent or of poor quality.33 Furthermore, the follow-up time of 4 months was short. True effects of any medical treatment may not be known for some time34 therefore this is a potential limitation of the study.

CONCLUSION
Radiotherapy has a multifaceted cellular effect on tissue repair, involving cytokines, growth factors, fibroblasts, ECM, MMPs, and collagen synthesis, although the pathogenesis is not fully understood yet.3-4 Fractionated radiotherapy treatment induces a repetitive cycle of inflammation.3 The acute effects of radiotherapy are mostly transient and are resolved within 4 weeks of the last dose, which correlates with the normal pathogenesis of skin regeneration.3-4 Two main theories pertaining to the late effects of radiotherapy can be found in the literature. Marx proposed that a chronic wound is caused by the hypoxic-hypocellular-hypovascular effects of treatment.17 Dion et al. argued that damage occurs because of changes in microvasculature, in addition to the depletion of parenchymal and stromal cells.20 Ozbek et al. found that radiotherapy reduces the tensile strength of wounds.27 This finding could explain why a few individuals who have undergone radiotherapy present with a wound following minimal trauma. More recently LeBrun et al. proposed that the treatment modality used, for example, proton therapy and IMRT, can influence patient outcomes in relation to tissue injury.31 Advancement in our understanding of radiotherapy and its effects may lead to improvement in the type of treatment modalities available, thereby preventing tissue injury altogether. It is imperative that clinicians have knowledge...
and understanding of the pathophysiology of the intrinsic and extrinsic factors that influence wound healing. This will enable clinicians to provide optimal and realistic treatment therapies to patients.
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